



How Smart Permitting Could Accelerate Rooftop Solar in Texas

Alexander Gard-Murray

Fellow, *Greenhouse Institute*

Research Affiliate, *Brown University Climate Solutions Lab*

January 25, 2025



BROWN
UNIVERSITY

CLIMATE
SOLUTIONS
LAB

Greenhouse

Credits

Alexander Gard-Murray is a Fellow of the Greenhouse Institute and a Research Affiliate of the Climate Solutions Lab.

The [Greenhouse Institute](#) is an independent network of researchers finding and fighting for solutions to the climate crisis.

The [Climate Solutions Lab](#) is housed at the Watson Institute of International and Public Affairs at [Brown University](#), dedicated to creating, learning, and distributing solution-oriented climate knowledge, at Brown and across the world.

Funding

This research was supported by a grant from Permit Power, a national nonprofit conducting research and advocacy to increase the speed and reduce the cost of installing clean energy equipment.

Disclaimer

Statements and views expressed in this report are solely those of the author and do not imply endorsement by the Greenhouse Institute, Brown University, the Watson Institute for International and Public Affairs, the Climate Solutions Lab, or Permit Power.

Cover Image

Image of Bull at Sunset by Daniel Lloyd Blunk-Fernández, available at <https://unsplash.com/photos/white-and-black-cow-on-grass-field-during-sunset-l5iHZwil9m4>.

Citation

Gard-Murray, A. (2025). "How Smart Permitting Could Accelerate Rooftop Solar in Texas." Greenhouse Institute & Climate Solutions Lab.
http://www.greenhouse.institute/research/2025/solar_permitting_tx/.

Executive Summary

Every year, tens of thousands of Texas families pursue energy independence by generating electricity through their own solar photovoltaic systems. They are turning Texas's sunny weather into lower bills and increased resilience.

But all too often, families' attempts to generate their own solar electricity are held back by unnecessarily complex government bureaucracy. In many areas, families that install solar first need to receive a permit from local governments to begin installation.¹ In Texas, permitting can be a lengthy, costly, and inconsistent process, which can discourage and prohibit families from investing in solar in the first place.

This report traces how the current solar permitting process deters families from "going solar," and how streamlining this process using a standardized, online, and smart permitting software platform would help bring solar to more roofs in more neighborhoods. Houston has already begun deploying smart permitting, with positive results.²

As smart permitting cuts through red tape, families buying a new system could save \$1,700–\$2,100 on the cost of a new system by 2030, and \$3,900–\$4,900 by 2040.

This report models the potential impacts of adopting such a platform across Texas, and projects that smart permitting could eventually lead to significant savings for Texan families. Making the process of getting solar projects approved more efficient would bring down the costs associated with the permitting process. As these costs get passed on to consumers, lower prices could spur increased demand.³ As smart permitting cuts through red tape, families buying a new system could save \$1,700–\$2,100 on the cost of a new system by 2030, and \$3,900–\$4,900 by 2040. And that is only the beginning of the savings.

Over the lifetime of the panels, the typical family that "goes solar" as a result of smart permitting could save \$84,000 in reduced electricity bills.⁴ As electricity prices increase over time, the average family could save \$2,100–\$3,700 in electricity bills each year. Adding up the projected ratepayer savings over each system's expected lifetime, the additional families installing solar by 2030 as a result of smart permitting

¹ Some jurisdictions in Texas do not require permits for solar installation; we do not assume any increase in sales in these jurisdictions.

² SolarAPP+ (2023).

³ The projected cost reductions are derived from assumptions based on samples of the market. The components of a project's cost, which impact the projected cost savings achieved from smart permitting, can vary widely across the industry.

⁴ This assumes a 30 year lifetime with 0.5% annual loss in panel capacity (DOE 2021).

could eventually save a combined \$6.8–\$7.1 billion. By 2040, the expected lifetime ratepayer savings of the additional systems could rise to a combined \$57–\$62 billion. These projections assume only gradual increases in electricity prices over time: if utilities move forward with substantial rate increases then the value of generating power at home could increase even further.⁵

These savings, in turn, could spur the installation of an additional 80,000–84,000 home solar systems by 2030 and 680,000–738,000 by 2040, an increase of 44–50% above business-as-usual.⁶ These additional rooftop systems could add a combined generating capacity of 750–790 megawatts by 2030 and 6.4–6.9 gigawatts by 2040. In other words, a shift to smart permitting could add as much generating capacity as six nuclear power plants.⁷ The increase in demand for new solar installations could also create 880–1040 jobs across the state by 2030, and 1,720–2,250 jobs by 2040.

The simplification of the permitting process could also free up taxpayer resources to address other pressing problems. Reduced labor required to review all permits could save 442,000–444,000 hours of staff time by 2030 and 1.9 million hours by 2040. This would allow building department plan reviewers to focus on priorities like permitting new housing starts.

This additional solar could also increase the resilience of the state to extreme weather events. In Winter Storm Uri, nearly three-quarters of the generating capacity lost was from coal and gas plants, not renewable sources.⁸ Had Texas been equipped with 10 GW more solar capacity, the effects of Uri would have been significantly mitigated for many Texans.⁹ Many Texans install batteries alongside solar, so increased deployment of residential solar could also increase emergency backup storage.

Up until recently, governments that wanted to implement smart permitting had no choice but to implement it themselves. This could be a costly and complicated task for an individual government to start from scratch, requiring specialist expertise and resources. But the recent launch of multiple smart permitting platforms available at no cost to governments mean that the status quo of slow, manual permitting processes is no longer difficult to escape. For families and the state to realize the benefits described in this report, Texas should ensure that smart solar permitting is widely available.

⁵ Our ratepayer savings calculations assume that rates increase gradually in real terms, doubling over the lifetime of the system. Our model also assumes that consumers will continue to have solar buyback options available to them.

⁶ The model assumes that the market environment in which residential solar has developed to this point persists. Significant changes to the market, such as the expansion of the Successor Solar Incentive program or cuts to net metering, could expand or shrink the projections.

⁷ Office of Nuclear Energy (2021).

⁸ Massie & Schwartz (2023)

⁹ Rhodes (2023).

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
The Benefits of Residential Solar in Texas	6
Bureaucratic Permitting Requirements Deter Families from Going Solar	7
Smart Permitting Encourages Families to Go Solar	11
Modeled Impacts of Permit Automation in Texas	15
Policy Recommendations	19
References	20
Acronyms	25

The Benefits of Residential Solar in Texas

Residential solar benefits the grid by reducing the need for costly transmission and distribution upgrades, alleviating stress on the infrastructure, making the overall service more dependable.¹⁰ In addition to the everyday benefits achieved by reducing the total demand for electricity, rooftop solar produces the most energy when the grid is most in need – afternoons on hot summer days when families are running their air conditioners.¹¹ When paired with a home battery, residential solar can provide clean energy to the residence and neighborhood once the sun sets.

Solar electricity makes vital contributions to Texas' economy. The state has the tenth highest electricity prices in the continental United States, but solar PV systems can allow residents to cut their bills by \$2,100–\$3,700 annually.¹² The solar industry, including residential, commercial, and utility scale companies, employs 12,421 people in the state across more than 689 businesses.¹³ Altogether, solar investments in Texas to date total more than \$45.2 billion.¹⁴ But despite the development to date and benefits of residential solar, deployment in Texas remains far below its potential.

Additional solar can also increase the resilience of the state to extreme weather events. In Winter Storm Uri, nearly three-quarters of the generating capacity lost was from coal and gas plants, not renewable sources.¹⁵ Had Texas been equipped with 10 GW more solar capacity, the effects of Uri would have been significantly mitigated for many Texans.¹⁶ And resilience would have been further increased by the storage many Texans buy alongside solar panels.

¹⁰ Fields (2023) and DOE (2023).

¹¹ Fields (2023).

¹² Price data from EIA (2024), savings calculated using the NREL System Advisor Model (NREL 2024a).

¹³ IREC (2024), SEIA (2024).

¹⁴ SEIA (2024).

¹⁵ Massie & Schwartz (2023).

¹⁶ Rhodes (2023).

Bureaucratic Permitting Requirements Deter Families from Going Solar

Unfortunately, permitting is a significant obstacle to rooftop solar across the Garden State. Homes that install solar first need to receive a permit, which grants permission to begin the installation, from local government. In Texas, the permitting process is often lengthy, bureaucratic, inconsistent, and costly, which can discourage and prohibit families from making the investment.

Texas already has faster permitting than many other parts of the country. As of January 2025, according to data available from Ohm Analytics, Texas ranks 9th for rooftop permitting speed.¹⁷ But even with this high rank, in 2024 one in ten permits took nearly a month to arrive (and some even longer).

Some cities and counties in Texas have their own, sometimes byzantine, process and requirements for obtaining the permit. Some cities require separate zoning applications. Some require notarized documents from the homeowners. Others require property surveys. There is a high degree of variation in the information that must be included on the solar plans from one jurisdiction to another. The variation in these processes and requirements persist despite the building code remaining consistent across the state and solar projects following the same design characteristics whether being installed in one city or another.¹⁸

In a survey of eleven Texas jurisdictions, 27 percent of residential solar projects that apply for permits were canceled.¹⁹ According to national survey data, installers view the permitting process as the most important cause of customer cancellations.²⁰ Installers' second most important cause of cancellation is changes in customer finances, a risk that likely increases with project delays.

¹⁷ Ohm Analytics (2024). Permitting timelines are determined by the median for each state. Texas' median permitting timeline over the last few months was 10.5 business days. Ohm does not have permitting timeline data for five states, and data are limited for some states.

¹⁸ Some jurisdictions in Texas do not require permits for residential solar: we do not model any increase in deployment in these jurisdictions.

¹⁹ Nationally, 22 percent of residential solar projects that apply for permits are canceled. (Cruce et al. 2022, p. 17). Data from Ohm Analytics (2024) tracing 11,656 applications in nine jurisdictions in Texas show an average of 27% for the cancellation rate.

²⁰ Cancellations during the permitting process itself are rare, but cancellations later in the process are still large, and contractors report permitting as the foremost driver. See Cook et al. (2021).

Permitting barriers directly discourage and prevent families from going solar. Additionally, permitting barriers drive up costs, which further discourage and prevent families from making the investment. The costs of permitting bureaucracy come from both the direct work of preparing, submitting, and revising permit applications and the costs caused in the rest of the installation process by uncertainty and complexity of permitting. These costs include:

- **Permit application preparation:** preparing the permit application requires developing a bespoke set of plans outlining the technical details of the project, tailored to the particular requirements of the jurisdiction. As previously stated, different jurisdictions can have different requirements and processes, and permit reviewers within the same jurisdiction may interpret codes differently.²¹
- **Permit submission:** in some jurisdictions in Texas, the plans and forms need to be printed out and submitted in person, adding labor and travel time. In the National Renewable Energy Laboratory's SolarTRACE data, only three jurisdictions have a smart permitting system in place or are piloting one.²²
- **Permitting timelines and delays:** once an installer submits a permit application, they often need to wait weeks or months before receiving the approved permit. As stated above, the median permitting time in Texas is relatively fast (at four business days), ranking 9th in the country.²³ But some projects take much longer (10% take nearly a month or more to get a response). While long permitting timelines are a problem on their own, they also can increase project costs by preventing installers from being able to develop the project schedule and manage work crews.
- **Permit revision:** when reviewers identify a problem with an application, installers must spend additional time preparing and submitting a revised application. The back and forth between jurisdictions and contractors can stretch out timelines significantly, as revised applications can end up at the back of the line. The whole process raises costs for both the reviewing agency (which has to revisit the same application multiple times) and families (since the cost of paying installers to revise and resubmit applications drives up the price of the system).²⁴

²¹ Compare this with the situation in Germany, where “PV systems have been explicitly exempted from building permission requirements in the model building code” since 1997, “the overwhelming majority of rooftop PV systems have never been subject to any permit requirements placed by local authorities,” and “planning and transaction costs related to municipal requirements are generally minimal to non-existent for most rooftop PV installations” (Strupeit 2016, p. 452).

²² SolarTRACE 2024. Some jurisdictions are marked “N/A,” so these numbers may not include all

²³ Ohm Analytics (2024).

²⁴ Surveyed installers say that inconsistent standards across authorities having jurisdiction cause delays: “interviewees cited inconsistent permitting inspections as a key driver of project delays, given that an installation with the exact same characteristics could pass inspection in one AHJ but fail an inspection in another AHJ” (Cook et al. 2021, p. 156).

- **Permit fees:** installers must pay a fee to the jurisdiction for review, and may have to pay additional fees for revisions.
- **Overhead:** installers must keep customers updated throughout this process, arranging additional site visits as needed and discussing potential changes in response to rejections, adding communication costs. All of this activity needs to be tracked and coordinated, adding to project management costs.
- **Cancellations:** As previously stated, 22 percent of residential solar projects that apply for permits are canceled,²⁵ and installers cite the permitting process as the most important cause of the cancellations.²⁶ Canceled projects drive up the costs for all remaining projects, since deposits (when collected) are rarely enough to cover the lost spending on customer acquisition, project design, permitting, and overhead.²⁷
- **Customer acquisition:** customer acquisition includes sales, marketing, and initial system design, and represents the single largest component of solar soft costs. The uncertainty of approval timelines creates difficulty for installers to guarantee delivery dates, making sales more difficult. The same negative experiences that drive cancellations can reduce the willingness of customers who do end up installing systems to recommend solar to others.²⁸ This can increase the cost of acquiring new customers, since peer recommendations are a key driver of solar adoption.²⁹
- **Barriers to entry:** permitting complexity can act as a barrier to entry (Dong and Wiser 2013 p. 540). Installers must develop experience with each jurisdiction's rules and how individual reviewers interpret those rules.³⁰ This increases startup costs for new firms and slows the expansion of existing firms.
- **Installer reactions:** Some installers raise prices in difficult jurisdictions, while others spread the cost across all their customers. Some firms avoid the most difficult jurisdictions altogether, reducing the number of contractors competing for families' business, further driving up costs.

²⁵ Cruce et al. (2022), p. 17 and Ohm Analytics (2024).

²⁶ According to Cook et al. (2021), cancellation during the permitting process itself are rare, but cancellations later in the process are still large, and contractors report permitting as the foremost driver.

²⁷ Cook et al. (2021).

²⁸ One solar installer we spoke with had a third party survey their customers after installation to find out how likely they were to recommend the company. If the installation was completed within 30 days, 70% of customers said that they would recommend the company to others. But once the installation took 120 days, 0% of customers were willing to make a recommendation. Beyond that time, customers were inclined to actively warn others against working with the company.

²⁹ Wolske et al. 2020.

³⁰ This could be especially difficult for larger firms trying to develop high-volume, low-cost business models (Overholm 2015). This could also help explain why large national solar firms do not necessarily have lower costs than small firms, despite the potential for economies of scale.

Taken together, all these permitting-driven installation costs raise the price of residential solar in Texas. Unnecessary and inflated permitting costs create a vicious circle: high upfront prices reduce demand for solar, which drives up customer acquisition costs. Higher customer acquisition costs, in turn, drive up prices for future customers. These effects compound over time, keeping solar more expensive than it otherwise would be.

Generally, the United States has many more permitting and bureaucratic barriers compared to other high-income industrialized countries. As a result, in 2020, the price for a typical residential solar system in the United States was \$28,600, while the price in peer countries was \$9,000–\$16,700.³¹ In 2023, the price for a typical residential solar system in the US had actually increased since 2020 to \$31,500, despite prices in countries like Australia continuing to decline.³² The price in Arizona for a 7.5kW system in 2023 was similarly high, hitting \$30,750.³³

³¹ IRENA (2021). 2020 prices were \$1.20/W in South Korea, \$1.22/W in Australia, \$1.38/W in Italy, \$1.40/W in Spain, \$1.61/W in Germany, \$1.84/W in France, and \$2.22/W in the United Kingdom. In the same year, prices were \$4.24/W in California and \$3.52/W in other US states, creating a national weighted average of \$3.808/W. Roughly 40% of solar systems in the U.S. are in California (Lyons 2024). Prices for the solar system assume a typical system size of 7.5kW.

³² Barbose et al. (2024). The median 2023 price was \$4.2/W. Price for the solar system assumes a typical system size of 7.5kW, smaller than the typical size of a Texas system (9.3 kW). Note, IRENA (2021) and Barbose (2024) used different methodologies to calculate \$/W costs, yielding different \$/W figures.

³³ Barbose et al. (2024). The median 2023 price in Texas was \$4.1/W. Note. Except where noted otherwise, prices in the rest of the report are reported in 2023 dollars.

Smart Permitting Encourages Families to Go Solar

Texas can reduce permitting barriers and spur residential solar growth by switching to a smart permitting process, allowing families using licensed contractors to get immediate feedback on their projects and receive permits instantly. Overall, smart permitting can eliminate permitting timelines, reduce unnecessary bureaucracy, reduce inconsistencies in permitting processes and requirements, and reduce costs.

Today, both the federal government and private vendors have created software platforms that can instantly review applications and issue permits for residential solar projects. Starting in 2019, the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, a branch of the federal Department of Energy, began working with the building safety community, jurisdictions, and the solar industry to create a smart solar permitting software platform. The resulting platform, SolarAPP+, has been deployed in more than 260 jurisdictions around the country as of January 24, 2025, with more than 59,300 permits issued.³⁴ There are now also private platforms that can provide permitting automation for residential solar, such as Symbium, which as of January 5, 2025 has launched in 43 jurisdictions.³⁵

Smart permitting can eliminate the weeks or months families must wait to receive permission from local government to begin installation. Smart permitting can eliminate both expected delays (e.g., when the permit application is approved within the expected two weeks) and unexpected delays (e.g., when the permit application is expected to be approved within two weeks, but is actually approved in two months). If an installer submits a project that is not up to code, smart permitting software notifies the installer in real time. The installer can then make changes to the plans, resubmit the application, and receive the approved permit instantly. Additionally, smart permitting software can approve revisions instantly, further smoothing the installation process (e.g., if the type of solar panels in the approved plans are no longer available when construction begins, and the installer must submit a revised permit application to the jurisdiction).

Smart permitting can standardize the process and requirements for obtaining permits across jurisdictions. This benefit is most visible when the smart permitting platform in operation is consistent between jurisdictions. However, this benefit can persist between different permitting platforms due to the digitization of the process and

³⁴ “Over 260” includes both 222 jurisdictions that have fully adopted SolarAPP+ and 44 that are currently running pilots. See SolarAPP+ (2024a).

³⁵ Symbium (2025).

similarities in input fields needed for the systems to automate the code compliance checks.

Smart permitting reduces cancellations in two ways. First, smart permitting reduces the instances in which the permit for the intended design cannot be obtained. Second, smart permitting can eliminate long project timelines and the back-and-forth between the jurisdiction, installer, and homeowner, which frequently cause the homeowner to become exasperated and walk away from the project before installation could otherwise begin.

Smart permitting can significantly reduce the resources, complexity, and uncertainty involved in residential solar projects, which directly reduces the cost of solar. These “first-order” effects include:

- **Simplified submission:** permit automation software accepts applications online, eliminating the need for physical plans and in-person submissions. Standardized portals also allow installers to submit plans through one consistent interface, rather than preparing plans with different details for different jurisdictions.
- **Instant feedback:** software can review plans without human intervention, check code compliance, and mark errors instantly. This allows designers to make necessary modifications during the initial design process, without the need for follow-up visits or repeat trips to the jurisdiction.³⁶
- **Standardization:** if many jurisdictions adopt smart permitting, the process becomes more consistent. Even if jurisdictions have different requirements, the use of the same platform minimizes the complexity in working across borders.
- **Enhanced government efficiencies:** because smart permitting systems reduce the need for manual staff review, jurisdictions can do more with the same number of building department staff, or re-assign them to other pressing departmental needs. The expected upfront costs of implementation are moderate and should ultimately pay off for the government and the people of Texas.
- **Shortened timelines:** smart permitting can eliminate wait times and delays for solar projects to be reviewed and approved. In 2023, SolarAPP+ eliminated approximately 142,000 days where a project would have otherwise been awaiting approval at the jurisdiction.³⁷
- **Enhanced safety:** software-driven plan review can be more comprehensive and thorough than the process that many jurisdictions are following today.

³⁶ Our installer interviews suggested that each system engineer could handle 50% to 100% more permit applications if all an installer’s sales were in AHJs with smart permitting.

³⁷ Cook et al. (2024). In 2023, SolarAPP processed 14,072 solar-only permits and 4,834 PV+storage permits (p. 9). For traditional permitting, median permitting timelines are 7 days for solar-only projects and 9 days for solar projects that include storage (pp. 12-13). 14,072 times 7 plus 4,834 times 9 equals 142,010.

SolarAPP+, for example, which was built in collaboration with codes- and standards-development bodies including the International Code Council, National Fire Protection Association, and UL, performs a comprehensive review of relevant electrical, fire, and structural codes, ensuring the proposed system meets safety requirements.³⁸ SolarAPP+ also stays up to date with new code editions and technologies, avoiding circumstances of plan reviewer error due to delayed training.³⁹

- **Easier customer acquisition:** shorter timelines and less uncertainty could produce better customer experiences, which should increase customers' likelihood of recommending their installer to others, lowering customer acquisition costs. Additionally, if installers can guarantee installation timelines with more confidence, that may increase sales at the margin as well.
- **Fewer cancellations:** smart permitting can shorten projected timelines, which provides customers with more confidence in the efficacy of the contractor, and avoid major project revisions, which provides customers with assurance in their investment. These factors can increase customer satisfaction and reduce cancellations, saving installers from spreading the expenses from canceled projects across their remaining customers.
- **Fewer failed inspections:** if automatic review catches errors that manual review would have missed, it can reduce the chance that installed systems fail their inspections. One study found that systems permitted through SolarAPP+ failed inspections in most jurisdictions studied less often than those permitted through existing methods.⁴⁰
- **Reduced overhead:** simpler permitting processes, elimination of paper plans, fewer trips to the site and the jurisdiction, and less correspondence with customers can reduce the need for tracking and coordination, cutting overhead.

In addition to the above “first-order” effects, over time smart permitting can also have “second-order” effects, which happen in response:

- **Volume effects:** as cost savings are passed to consumers, demand for solar PV systems should increase. This should lower some of installers' fixed costs, like sales and marketing expenses and general overhead, because they can spread them across a higher number of successful projects.
- **New business models:** over the long term, automation can allow solar installers to overhaul their business models to emphasize speed and volume, becoming much leaner operations that earn smaller profit margins but with higher volume. Fully realizing this model would likely require other process

³⁸ See SolarAPP+ (2024b) and UL (2024).

³⁹ SolarAPP+ (2024c).

⁴⁰ Cook et al. (2024), p. 20.

simplifications, like making the inspection and interconnection processes more efficient as well.

Taken together, these second-order cost reductions have the potential to create a “virtuous” circle, in which direct reductions in installer costs gradually bring down prices, leading to increased demand, which allows for further cost reductions through economies of scale, leading to further cost reductions, and beginning the cycle again.

Houston has already begun deploying a smart permitting platform (SolarAPP+) and has had positive results in bringing down timelines:⁴¹

“Originally, if you look at the timeframe, we took about 9 days to get a solar plan through our system [...] Once we implemented [smart permitting], we went from 9 days down to one day, so that was a tremendous value for the contractors and also the homeowners.”

– Byron King, Assistant Director, City of Houston

So far this report has reviewed the benefits of residential solar permit automation in general terms. The next section quantifies the benefits Texas might expect from automation.

⁴¹ SolarAPP+ (2023).

Modeled Impacts of Permit Automation in Texas

To estimate the impact of smart online permitting in Texas, this report models how automation could change the costs faced by installers, lower the prices paid by consumers, reduce cancellations, improve the purchasing experience for families, raise the financial appeal of rooftop solar, and increase the number of solar systems installed. It then projects impacts on individual households and on the state as a whole. The full details of the methodology are available in a Technical Appendix.⁴²

The model assumes an 9.3kW system with 22 panels (close to the median size of a system in Texas). To make sure we capture the different environments in the state, the model run is repeated for Texas' different climate zones.⁴³ In each climate zone, the population center of the most populous county in the zone is used as the reference point. The utility rates are also taken from that county, and correspond to the most current rates available. The model takes into account the hourly weather and electricity consumption for a typical home in each reference county. The cost modeling is done in Excel and Python, and the performance modeling is done using NREL's System Advisor Model.⁴⁴

The model uses two benchmarks to track the cost of installing residential solar systems. The first comes from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (Ramasamy et al. 2022) and the second comes from data shared by a major solar installer software platform (OpenSolar 2024). These data are combined to produce a range of estimates. In both cases, the prices are modified from their original national estimates to reflect local prices in Texas.⁴⁵

The model assumes that the market environment in which residential solar has developed to this point will persist. Significant changes to the market, such as the expansion of the Successor Solar Incentive program or cuts to net metering, could change outcomes either positively or negatively. The model also assumes that cost reductions for installers will gradually be passed on to consumers as the market adjusts, and that these cost reductions will drive increased consumer demand. While

⁴² The Technical Appendix is available at http://www.greenhouseinstitute/research/2025/solar_permitting_tx/.

⁴³ Some jurisdictions in Texas do not require permits for residential solar installation. We obtained a list of such jurisdiction from a statewide solar installer, and calculated the share of the each climate zone's rooftop solar potential that lies in that non-permitting jurisdictions. We assume no change above business-as-usual solar installation in such jurisdictions.

⁴⁴ NREL (2024a).

⁴⁵ Prices are adjusted from national values to Texas values using Regional Price Parities (BEA 2024).

the model is grounded in real cost data, it is important to note that individual project cost components can vary significantly between companies and markets.

The results suggest that if Texas rolled out smart permitting statewide beginning in 2026, it could have major impacts on solar deployment. By 2030, an additional 80,000–84,000 families could go solar compared with a business-as-usual scenario. As contractors reconfigure operations to take full advantage of the simplifications and efficiencies of smart permitting, the model projects installations could take off even faster. By 2040, the model suggests that Texas could have 680,000–738,000 more residential rooftop solar systems than we would currently expect. This is a 44%–50% increase over business-as-usual installations. It is equivalent to roughly 6.3–6.9 gigawatts of additional generating capacity, compared to one gigawatt from a typical nuclear reactor. In other words, Texas families would add more generating capacity than six nuclear power plants—without building any new nuclear power plants.⁴⁶

All these additional solar systems could produce major savings for families. As smart permitting cuts through red tape, families buying a new system could save \$1,700–\$2,100 on the cost of a new system by 2030, and \$3,900–\$4,900 by 2040. These savings are independent of state or federal incentives and financing arrangements that can further reduce the price of a new system.

Savings could continue to accumulate over the lifetime of the system, as solar generation can reduce electricity bills significantly. New solar systems last 30 years without significant performance drops, and can continue to operate longer (though parts of the system may need to be replaced).⁴⁷ But even if the model assumes that systems are replaced entirely after 30 years, the savings over that time dwarf the upfront costs of the system. The model suggests that a typical 9.3kW system could cut the average family’s annual electricity bills by \$2,100–\$3,700 depending on the location of the system and the year of operation. This is equivalent to a (rounded) monthly bill reduction of \$173–\$306. Over a 30-year system lifetime, these savings could amount to \$84,000, much larger than the typical cost of a system.

Across all the additional families installing solar, the savings could be quite significant. The 80,000–84,000 additional systems installed by 2030 could produce \$165–\$173 million in savings that year. By 2040, the potential 680,000–738,000 additional systems could produce annual savings of \$1.5–\$1.7 billion. Adding together all the savings over the modeled 30-year lifetime, the additional systems installed by 2040 because of smart permitting could produce a combined \$57–\$62 billion worth of savings for Texas families.⁴⁸

⁴⁶ Office of Nuclear Energy (2021).

⁴⁷ This is a conservative assumption since new systems often produce power for 30–35 years or more (DOE 2021).

⁴⁸ All savings calculations assume that new customers are able to sign on to solar buyback programs similar to those currently in place.

By replacing electricity that households would otherwise buy from the grid, and generating excess electricity that can be sold to other households, these additional solar installations could also significantly reduce emissions in the Grand Canyon state without adding any government bureaucracy, cumbersome taxes, or new spending allocations. An average family installing solar could cut emissions by 4.9 metric tons of CO₂e per year in 2030 and 3.5 tons in 2040.⁴⁹ That's the equivalent of avoiding the emissions from burning 390–552 gallons of gasoline or 3,800–5,500 pounds of coal. It would take 3.5–4.9 acres of U.S. forests a year to sequester the same amount of emissions.⁵⁰

Looking across all the additional systems, by 2030 Texas families could collectively avoid 394,000–414,000 metric tons of CO₂e emissions each year compared to business as usual. That's equivalent to taking 92,000–96,000 gasoline-powered cars off the road. By 2040, annual emissions savings could expand to 2.4–2.6 million metric tons of CO₂e. It would take 2.4–2.6 million acres of U.S. forests a year to sequester the same amount of carbon. Families would need to divert 200–217 million trash bags of waste from landfills to recycling every year to achieve the same emissions reduction.

Looking again at the modeled 30-year system lifetime, the additional systems installed by 2040 because of smart permitting could eventually save 56–61 million metric tons of CO₂e. That's the equivalent of shutting 15–16 coal-fired power plants for a year. To achieve the same emissions reductions through cutting fuel usage, the state would need to reduce consumption by 129–140 million barrels of oil, 6.3–6.9 billion gallons of gasoline, or 62–68 billion pounds of coal. It would take a forest the size of Nevada a year to sequester the equivalent amount of carbon dioxide.⁵¹

Jurisdictions could see benefits at building departments as well. Smart residential solar permitting could save 1.9 million hours of staff time at building departments through 2040, allowing plan reviewers to focus on other priorities, such as permitting new housing.⁵²

All these additional panels could also increase the number of jobs in solar installation in Texas. Even though smart permitting would reduce the total labor hours needed to

⁴⁹ The size of the avoided emissions is lower in 2040 because the grid is assumed to be getting cleaner over that time, which reduces the emissions impact of residential solar. The Cambium model we use to calculate avoided emissions reflects state policies to enforce cleaner grids through mechanisms like renewable portfolio standards (Gagnon et al. 2024).

⁵⁰ These emissions comparisons and those that follow are calculated using the EPA Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator (EPA 2024).

⁵¹ The forest required would be 5.0–5.8 million acres, and the Grand Canyon has an area of 1.2 million acres.

⁵² Cook et al. (2024).

install solar panels on an individual house, the increase in overall demand is projected to more than make up for more efficient project timelines. The model suggests that Texas could have more than 880–1040 additional residential solar installation jobs by 2030, and 1,720–2,250 more residential solar jobs by 2040, an increase of 45%–58% above current residential solar employment.

Though batteries are beyond the scope of this report, many Texas families decide to pair their solar PV systems with battery storage. If this practice continued, then the increase in solar installations would also come with an increase in battery attachment, insulating families from energy tariff changes, as well as making them more resilient to power outages and natural disasters. According to the data from Barbose et al. (2024), in 2023 16% of new residential solar installations in Texas were paired with storage. If this rate held, the additional installations driven by smart permitting could add 1.24–1.35 GWh of additional storage by 2040.⁵³

⁵³ Battery uptake is likely linked to the prices utilities pay for solar exported to the grid by families. While it is beyond the scope of this paper, if utilities were to reduce the rates they pay for such exports then batteries could help limit the financial impact of that change. This estimate assumes an average battery system size of 11.4 kWh.

Policy Recommendations

Given the expected benefits, all families in Texas should have the opportunity to install rooftop solar with a permit obtained via a smart process. State policymakers should consider encouraging or requiring permitting authorities to pursue smart permitting. Other states, including California, Colorado, Illinois, Maryland, Minnesota, and Washington, have implemented or are implementing programs to support smart review. The sooner this process begins in Texas, the sooner the benefits will start to accrue.

There are also other bureaucratic barriers to solar adoption, particularly around building inspection, utility interconnection, and homeowner association approvals. Though these are beyond the scope of this report, finding ways to lower these barriers while maintaining installation quality and grid balance would also likely speed up solar installation and bring down solar prices. Texas leaders, jurisdictions, and utilities should look for ways to minimize unnecessary delays and costs here as well.

References

- Ardani, K., Barbose, G., Margolis, R., Wiser, R., Feldman, D., & Ong, S. (2012). “Benchmarking Non-Hardware Balance of System (Soft) Costs for U.S. Photovoltaic Systems Using a Data-Driven Analysis from PV Installer Survey Results.” DOE/GO-102012-3834, 1059144; p. DOE/GO-102012-3834, 1059144. <https://doi.org/10.2172/1059144>.
- Barbose, G., Darghouth, N., O’Shaughnessy, E., & Forrester, S. (2024). *Tracking the Sun: Pricing and Design Trends for Distributed Photovoltaic Systems in the United States, 2024 Edition*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. https://emp.lbl.gov/sites/default/files/2024-08/Tracking%20the%20Sun%202024_Report_0.pdf
- BEA (2024). “SARPP Regional price parities by state.” U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis. <https://www.bea.gov/tools>.
- Cook, J., Akar, S., Chang, D., Fensch, A., Nissen, K., O’Shaughnessy, E., & Xu, K. (2024). *SolarAPP+ Performance Review (2023 Data)*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-6A20-89618. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/89618.pdf>.
- Cruce, J., O’Shaughnessy, E., & Cook, J. (2022). “Evaluating the Impact of Residential Solar Contract Cancellations in the United States.” National Renewable Energy Laboratory. NREL/TP-6A20-80626. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/80626.pdf>.
- DOE (2021). “End-of-Life Management for Solar Photovoltaics.” Department of Energy Solar Energy Technologies Office. <https://www.energy.gov/eere/solar/end-life-management-solar-photovoltaics>.
- DOE (2023). “5 Benefits of Residential Solar.” Department of Energy. <https://www.energy.gov/energysaver/articles/5-benefits-residential-solar>.
- DOE (2024). “How Much Power is 1 Gigawatt?” Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy. <https://www.energy.gov/eere/articles/how-much-power-1-gigawatt>.
- Dong, C., & Wiser, R. (2013). “The impact of city-level permitting processes on residential photovoltaic installation prices and development times: An empirical analysis of solar systems in California cities”. *Energy Policy*, 63, 531–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2013.08.054>.
- Dutzik, T., Ham, A., & Neumann, J. (2024). “Rooftop solar on the rise: Small solar projects are delivering 10 times as much power as a decade ago.” Environment

America, Frontier Group.

<https://publicinterestnetwork.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/02/Rooftop-Solar-on-the-Rise-2024.pdf>.

ECB (2023). “Currency Converter.” *ECB Data Portal*.

<https://data.ecb.europa.eu/currency-converter>.

EPA (2024). Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator. Environmental Protection Agency. <https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator>.

EIA (2024). “Table 5.6.A. Average Price of Electricity to Ultimate Customers by End-Use Sector, by State, July 2024 and 2023.” *Electric Power Monthly*. Energy Information Administration.

https://www.eia.gov/electricity/monthly/epm_table_grapher.php.

Fields, S. (2023). “How solar benefits the electricity grid.” EnergySage.

<https://www.energysage.com/electricity/how-solar-helps-the-grid/>.

Fields, S., & Walker, E. (2024). “Solar battery cost: Why they're not always worth it.” EnergySage.

<https://www.energysage.com/energy-storage/how-much-do-batteries-cost/>.

Enkhardt, S. (2024). “Residential PV prices in Germany drop 25% within 12 months.” *PV Magazine*.

<https://www.pv-magazine.com/2024/10/24/residential-pv-prices-in-germany-drop-25-within-12-months/>.

Fuhs, M. (2023). “Germany’s average residential PV prices rose by 10% to €1,557/kW in Q2.” *PV Magazine*.

<https://www.pv-magazine.com/2023/06/22/germanys-average-residential-pv-prices-rose-by-10-to-e1557-kw-in-q2/>.

Gagnon, P., Sanchez Perez, P.A., Obika, K., Schwarz, M., Morris, J., Gu, J., & Eisenman, J. (2024). “Cambium 2023 Scenario Descriptions and Documentation. National Renewable Energy Laboratory.

NREL/TP-6A40-88507. <https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/88507.pdf>.

IREC (2024). Texas: Solar and Clean Energy Jobs. Interstate Renewable Energy Council.

<https://irecusa.org/texas-solar-and-clean-energy-jobs/>.

IRENA (2021). Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2020, International Renewable Energy Agency, Abu Dhabi.

<https://www.irena.org/publications/2021/Jun/Renewable-Power-Costs-in-2020>.

Lyons, M. “America Exceeds Five Million Solar Installations Nationwide,” Solar Energy Industries Association. <https://seia.org/news/5million/>.

Massie, A. & Schwartz, A. (2023). “Reality Check: Keeping the Lights on in Extreme Winter Weather.” RMI.
<https://rmi.org/reality-check-keeping-the-lights-on-in-extreme-winter-weather/>.

McCoy, M. (2023). “The State(s) of Distributed Solar — 2023 Update”. Institute for Local Self-Reliance. <https://ilsr.org/articles/the-states-of-distributed-solar-2023/>.

McGarvey, S. (2023). “Why are US distributed solar customer acquisition costs still on the rise?” *Wood Mackenzie*.
<https://www.woodmac.com/news/opinion/why-are-us-distributed-solar-customer-acquisition-costs-still-on-the-rise/>.

Minneapolis Fed (2024). *Inflation Calculator*. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis.
<https://www.minneapolisfed.org/about-us/monetary-policy/inflation-calculator>.

NREL (2024a). *System Advisor Model Version 2024.12.12*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory. <https://sam.nrel.gov>.

NREL (2024b). *Solar Time-Based Residential Analytics and Cycle time Estimator (SolarTRACE)*. National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
<https://gosolarapp.org/solarTRACE>.

NREL (2024c). “2024 Annual Technology Baseline.” National Renewable Energy Laboratory. <https://atb.nrel.gov/>.

Office of Nuclear Energy (2021). “How Much Power Does A Nuclear Reactor Produce?” Department of Energy.
<https://www.energy.gov/ne/articles/infographic-how-much-power-does-nuclear-reactor-produce>.

Ohm Analytics (2024). “Clean Code.” <https://cleancode.ohmanalytics.com/>.

Overholm, H. (2015). “Spreading the rooftop revolution: What policies enable solar-as-a-service?” *Energy Policy*, 84, 69–79.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2015.04.021>.

Palmere, M. (2024). “Behind-The-Meter Distributed Generation Forecast Updates.” California Energy Commission.

https://www.energy.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2024-12/Behind-The-Meter_Distributed_Generation_Forecast_Updates_ada.pdf.

Ramasamy, V., Zuboy, J., O'Shaughnessy, E., Feldman, D., Desai, J., Woodhouse, M., Basore, P., & Margolis, R. (2022). *U.S. Solar Photovoltaic System and Energy Storage Cost Benchmarks, With Minimum Sustainable Price Analysis: Q1 2022* (NREL/TP-7A40-83586). National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
<https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy22osti/83586.pdf>.

Ramasamy, V., Zuboy, J., Woodhouse, M., O'Shaughnessy, E., Feldman, D., Desai, J., Walker, A., Margolis, R., & Basore, P. (2023). *U.S. Solar Photovoltaic System and Energy Storage Cost Benchmarks, With Minimum Sustainable Price Analysis: Q1 2023* (NREL/TP7A40-87303). National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
<https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy23osti/87303.pdf>.

Rhodes, J.D. (2023). "The impact of an additional 10 GW of utility-scale solar in ERCOT during winter storm URI." GridLab.
<https://gridlab.org/portfolio-item/the-impact-of-an-additional-10-gw-of-utility-scale-solar-in-ercot-during-winter-storm-uri/>.

Ros, A. J., & Sai, S. S. (2023). Residential rooftop solar demand in the U.S. and the impact of net energy metering and electricity prices. *Energy Economics*, 118, 106491.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2022.106491>.

Rosen, T. & Scarr, A. (2024). "Electric bills are set to increase in June for 65 million Americans. Here's why." Environment America.
<https://environmentamerica.org/center/articles/electric-bills-are-set-to-increase-in-june-for-65-million-americans-heres-why/>.

Seel, J., Barbose, G. L., & Wiser, R. H. (2014). "An analysis of residential PV system price differences between the United States and Germany." *Energy Policy*, 69, 216–226.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2014.02.022>.

SEIA (2024). "Texas State Solar Overview." Solar Energy Industries Association.
<https://seia.org/state-solar-policy/texas-solar/>.

SolarAPP+ (2023). "What are local governments saying about SolarAPP+?"
<https://help.solar-app.org/article/225-testimonials>.

SolarAPP+ (2024). "Where is SolarAPP+ Available?"
<https://help.solar-app.org/article/108-where-is-solarapp-available>. Accessed January 24, 2025.

SolarAPP+ (2024b). “How does SolarAPP+ execute the code compliance check for various components of a system before issuing a permit?”

<https://help.solar-app.org/article/262-how-does-solarapp-execute-the-code-compliance-check-for-various-components-of-a-system-before-issuing-a-permit>.

SolarAPP+ (2024c). “Benefits of the SolarAPP+ Online Permitting Software.”

<https://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy24osti/90815.pdf>.

UL (2024). “SolarAPP+ permit tool for residential solar & storage.”

<https://code-authorities.ul.com/about/inspection-resources-for-code-authorities/solar-app-permit-tool-for-residential-solar/>.

Steinberg, E., & Richardson, C. (2024). *Top 5 Barriers to Implementation for Solar for All*, Realize 2050.

<https://www.realize2050.com/solar-for-all-webinar-and-white-paper>

<https://docsend.com/view/qzg3tmhaww3je4em>

Symbium (2025). “In which cities and counties can I use Symbium to secure an instant solar permit?” Accessed January 4, 2025.

https://symbium.com/faq/rooftop_solar_ess/in-which-cities-and-counties-can-i-use-symbium-to-secure-an-instant-solar-permit.

Wolske, K. S., Gillingham, K. T., & Schultz, P. W. (2020). Peer influence on household energy behaviours. *Nature Energy*, 5(3), 202–212.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0541-9>

Acronyms

CO ₂ e	CO ₂ -equivalents
mTCO ₂ e	Metric Tons of CO ₂ -equivalents
MMTCO ₂ e	Million Metric Tons of CO ₂ -equivalents

W	Watt	1 W
kW	Kilowatt	1000 W
kWh	Kilowatt-hour	1000 Wh
MW	Megawatt	1,000,000 W
MWh	Megawatt-hour	1,000,000 Wh
GW	Gigawatt	1,000,000,000 W
GWh	Gigawatt-hour	1,000,000,000 Wh